## The Climate Risks of Natural Gas: Fugitive Methane Emissions

An Infographic from the Union of Concerned Scientists

## Methodology and Assumptions

## Updated: March 2015

Data sources and assumptions for the infographic <u>The Climate Risks of Natural Gas: Fugitive</u> <u>Methane Emissions</u>.

Total U.S. natural gas production (dry production) in 2012—24,057,609 million cubic feet (volume)—is based on EIA reported data (EIA 2014).

The range of natural gas production that escapes into the atmosphere is between 1 and 9 percent. This is based on a variety of published studies (Cathles et al. 2012; Howarth et al. 2012; Petron et al. 2012; Skone 2012; Tollefson 2013; Weber and Clavin 2012).

1 percent: 240,576 million cubic feet (volume)

9 percent: 2,165,185 million cubic feet (volume)

Million cubic feet of natural gas was converted to million metric tons (volume to mass) using the specific volume of the gas at 70 degrees Fahrenheit (21.1degrees Celsius), 1 atmosphere of pressure: <u>1.474 m3/kg</u>

Methane, a primary component of natural gas, is 34 times more potent than carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  at trapping heat (Myhre et al. 2013).

Using these assumptions, we converted volume of natural gas leakage to CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent tons:

							Million
Million cubic feet natural gas	Cubic feet natural gas	Cubic meter	Cubic	Kilograms	Million	Methane	metric
			meter/kg		metric	is more	tons CO <sub>2</sub>
			(Specific		tons	potent	equivalent
			Volume)		methane	than CO <sub>2</sub>	(CO <sub>2</sub> -e)
240,576	240,576,090,000	6,812,356,373	1.474	4,621,680,036	4.62	34	157
2,165,185	2,165,184,810,000	61,311,207,361	1.474	41,595,120,326	41.60	34	1,414

We assume that a typical coal plant is 600 megawatts in size, and emits 4.5 million metric tons of  $CO_2$  per year (based on a heat rate of 10,000 Btu/kWh and a  $CO_2$  emissions rate of 2,200 lbs/MWh).

Using these emissions estimates for a typical-sized existing coal plant translates into:

157 million metric tons  $CO_2$ -e ~ 35 coal power plants

1,414 million metric tons  $CO_2$ -e ~ 314 coal power plants

## **References**

Cathles, L.M., L. Brown, M. Taam, and A. Hunter. 2012. A commentary on "The greenhouse-gas footprint of natural gas in shale formations" by R.W. Howarth, R. Santoro, and A. Ingraffea. *Climatic Change* doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0333-0. Online at <u>http://www.geo.cornell.edu/eas/PeoplePlaces/Faculty/cathles/Natural%20Gas/Cathles-</u>%20Assessing%20GH%20Impact%20Natural%20Gas.pdf.

Howarth, R.W., D. Shindell, R. Santoro, A. Ingraffea, N. Phillips, and A. Townsend-Small. 2012. Methane emissions from natural gas systems. Background paper prepared for the National Climate Assessment. Reference number 2011-0003. Online at <u>http://www.eeb.cornell.edu/howarth/Howarth/20et%20al.%20--</u> %20National%20Climate%20Assessment.pdf.

Myhre, G., D. Shindell, F.-M. Bréon, W. Collins, J. Fuglestvedt, J. Huang, D. Koch, J.-F. Lamarque, D. Lee, B. Mendoza, T. Nakajima, A. Robock, G. Stephens, T. Takemura, and H. Zhang. 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis: Contribution of Working Group I to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P.M. Midgley. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 659–740. Online at *www.climatechange2013.org/images/report/WG1AR5\_Chapter08\_FINAL.pdf.* 

Petron, G., G. Frost, B.T. Miller, A.I. Hirsch, S.A. Montzka, A. Karion, M. Trainer, C. Sweeney, A.E. Andrews, L. Miller, J. Kofler, A. Bar-Ilan, E.J. Dlgokencky, L. Patrick, C.T. Moor, T.B. Ryerson, C. Siso, W. Kolodzev, P.M. Lang, T. Conway, P. Novelli, K. Masarie, B. Hall, D. Guenthere, D. Kitzis, J. Miller, D. Welsh, D. Wolfe, W. Neff, and P. Tans. 2012. Hydrocarbon emissions characterization in the Colorado Front Range: A pilot study. *Journal of Geophysical Research* in press, doi: 10.1029/2011JD016360.

Skone, T. 2012. *Role of alternative energy sources: Natural gas power technology assessment.* DOE/NETL-2011/1536. National Energy Technology Laboratory.

Tollefson, J. 2013. Methane leaks erode green credentials of natural gas. *Nature* 493,doi: 10.1038/493012a. Online at <u>http://www.nature.com/news/methane-leaks-erode-green-credentials-of-natural-gas-1.12123#/ref-link-5</u>.

U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). 2014. *Natural Gas Gross Withdrawals and Production*. Washington, DC. Online at <u>http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng\_prod\_sum\_dcu\_NUS\_a.htm</u>.

Weber, C., and C. Clavin. 2012. Life cycle carbon footprint of shale gas: Review of evidence and *al Science and Technology* 46:5688–5695. Online at *http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es300375n*.